## Algorithms \& Data Structures

## Exercise sheet 0

The solutions for this sheet do not have to be submitted. The sheet will be solved in the first exercise session on 25.09.2023.

Exercises that are marked by * are challenge exercises.

## Exercise 0.1 Induction.

a) Prove by mathematical induction that for any positive integer $n$,

$$
1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

- Base Case.

Let $n=1$. Then:

$$
1=\frac{1 \cdot 2}{2}
$$

## - Induction Hypothesis.

Assume that the property holds for some positive integer $k$. That is:

$$
1+2+\cdots+k=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}
$$

- Inductive Step.

We must show that the property holds for $k+1$ summands.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+2+\cdots+k+k+1 & =\frac{k(k+1)}{2}+k+1 \\
& =\frac{k(k+1)+2(k+1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the principle of mathematical induction, this is true for any positive integer $n$.
b) (This subtask is from August 2019 exam). Let $T: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that satisfies the following two conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(n) \geq 4 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+3 n \quad \text { whenever } n \text { is divisible by } 2 \\
& T(1)=4
\end{aligned}
$$

Prove by mathematical induction that

$$
T(n) \geq 6 n^{2}-2 n
$$

holds whenever $n$ is a power of 2 , i.e., $n=2^{k}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

- Base Case.

Let $k=0, n=2^{0}=1$. Then:

$$
T(1)=4 \geq 6 \cdot 1^{2}-2 \cdot 1
$$

## - Induction Hypothesis.

Assume that the property holds for some positive integer $m=2^{k}$. That is:

$$
T(m) \geq 6 m^{2}-2 m
$$

- Inductive Step. We must show that the property holds for $2 m=2^{k+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(2 m) & \geq 4 \cdot T(m)+3 \cdot 2 \cdot m \\
& \geq 24 m^{2}-8 m+6 m \\
& =24 m^{2}-2 m \\
& \geq 24 m^{2}-4 m \\
& =6 \cdot(2 m)^{2}-2 \cdot(2 m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the principle of mathematical induction, this is true for any integer $n$ that is a power of 2 .

## Asymptotic Growth

When we estimate the number of elementary operations executed by algorithms, it is often useful to ignore smaller order terms, and instead focus on the asymptotic growth defined below. We denote by $\mathbb{R}^{+}$the set of all (strictly) positive real numbers and by $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$the set of nonnegative real numbers.

Definition 1. Let $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be two functions. We say that $f$ grows asymptotically faster than $g$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)}=0$.

This definition is also valid for functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$instead of $\mathbb{N}$. In general, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)}$ is the same as $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{f(x)}$ if the second limit exists.

## Exercise 0.2 Comparison of functions part 1.

Show that
a) $f(n):=n \log n$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n$.

Solution:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n \log n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n}=0
$$

hence by Definition 1, $f(n):=n \log n$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n$.
b) $f(n):=n^{3}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=10 n^{2}+100 n+1000$.

Solution:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10 n^{2}+100 n+1000}{n^{3}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{10}{n}+\frac{100}{n^{2}}+\frac{1000}{n^{3}}\right)=0
$$

hence by Definition 1, $f(n):=n^{3}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=10 n^{2}+100 n+1000$.
c) $f(n):=3^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=2^{n}$.

## Solution:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n}}{3^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n}=0
$$

hence by Definition $1, f(n):=3^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=2^{n}$.

The following theorem can be useful to compute some limits.
Theorem 1 (L'Hôpital's rule). Assume that functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $g: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$are differentiable, $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)=\infty$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$. If $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}=C \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$or $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}=\infty$, then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}
$$

## Exercise 0.3 Comparison of functions part 2.

Show that
a) $f(n):=n^{1.01}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n \ln n$.

Solution: We apply Theorem 1 to compute

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x \ln x}{x^{1.01}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x}{x^{0.01}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ln x)^{\prime}}{\left(x^{0.01}\right)^{\prime}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 / x}{0.01 x^{-0.99}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{0.01 x^{0.01}}=0
$$

Hence by Definition $1, f(n):=n^{1.01}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n \ln n$.
b) $f(n):=e^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n$.

Solution: We apply Theorem 1 to compute

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{e^{x}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{\prime}}{\left(e^{x}\right)^{\prime}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{e^{x}}=0
$$

Hence by Definition $1, f(n):=e^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n$.
c) $f(n):=e^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n^{2}$.

Solution: We apply Theorem 1 to compute

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{2}}{e^{x}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(x^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(e^{x}\right)^{\prime}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 x}{e^{x}}=2 \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{\prime}}{\left(e^{x}\right)^{\prime}}=2 \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{e^{x}}=0
$$

Hence by Definition $1, f(n):=e^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n^{2}$.
d)* $f(n):=1.01^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n^{100}$.

Solution: Note that we can rewrite $\frac{g(x)}{f(x)}$ as

$$
\frac{x^{100}}{(1.01)^{x}}=\frac{e^{100 \ln x}}{e^{x \ln (1.01)}}=e^{100 \ln x-\ln (1.01) x}
$$

We have

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}(100 \ln x-\ln (1.01) x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x\left(100 \frac{\ln x}{x}-\ln (1.01)\right)=-\infty,
$$

and therefore $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{100}}{(1.01)^{x}}=0$. Hence by Definition $1, f(n):=1.01^{n}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=n^{100}$.
e)* $f(n):=\log _{2} n$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=\log _{2} \log _{2} n$.

Solution: Define $y:=\log _{2} x$. Then $y \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and therefore $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{f(x)}=\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{2} y}{y}$. Remembering that $\log _{2} y=\ln y / \ln 2$, we can apply Theorem 1 to compute

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{2} y}{y}=\frac{1}{\ln 2} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln y}{y}=\frac{1}{\ln 2} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ln y)^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{\ln 2} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 / y}{1}=0 .
$$

Hence by Definition $1, f(n):=\log _{2} n$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=\log _{2} \log _{2} n$.
f)* $f(n):=2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=\log _{2}^{100} n$.

## Solution:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{2}^{100} n}{2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\log _{2}\left(\log _{2}^{100} n\right)}}{2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{100 \log _{2} \log _{2} n}}{2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{100 \log _{2} \log _{2} n-\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}
$$

Notice that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(100 \log _{2} \log _{2} n-\sqrt{\log _{2} n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-\sqrt{\log _{2} n}\left(1-100 \frac{\log _{2} \log _{2} n}{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}\right)\right)=-\infty
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{2}^{100} n}{2^{\log _{2} n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{100 \log _{2} \log _{2} n-\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}=0
$$

Therefore, by Definition 1, $f(n):=2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=\log _{2}^{100} n$.
g) ${ }^{*} f(n):=n^{0.01}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}$.

## Solution:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}{n^{0.01}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}{2^{\log \left(n^{0.01}\right)}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}{2^{0.01 \log _{2} n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}-0.01 \log _{2} n}
$$

Notice that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sqrt{\log _{2} n}-0.01 \log _{2} n\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-0.01 \log _{2} n\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}{0.01 \log _{2} n}\right)\right)=-\infty
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}{n^{0.01}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}-0.01 \log _{2} n}=0
$$

Therefore, by Definition $1, f(n):=n^{0.01}$ grows asymptotically faster than $g(n):=2^{\sqrt{\log _{2} n}}$.

## Exercise 0.4 Simplifying expressions.

Simplify the following expressions as much as possible without changing their asymptotic growth rates.
Concretely, for each expression $f(n)$ in the following list, find an expression $g(n)$ that is as simple as possible and that satisfies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

It is guaranteed that all functions in this exercise take values in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$(you don't have to prove it).
a) $f(n):=5 n^{3}+40 n^{2}+100$

Solution: Let $g(n):=n^{3}$. Then indeed we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(5+\frac{40}{n}+\frac{100}{n^{3}}\right)=5 \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

b) $f(n):=5 n+\ln n+2 n^{3}+\frac{1}{n}$

Solution: Let $g(n):=n^{3}$. Then indeed we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{5}{n^{2}}+\frac{\ln n}{n^{3}}+2+\frac{1}{n^{4}}\right)=2 \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

c) $f(n):=n \ln n-2 n+3 n^{2}$

Solution: Let $g(n):=n^{2}$. Then indeed we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}-\frac{2}{n}+3\right)=3 \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

d) $f(n):=23 n+4 n \log _{5} n^{6}+78 \sqrt{n}-9$

Solution: By the properties of logarithms, $4 n \log _{5} n^{6}=24 n \log _{5} n=\frac{24 n \ln n}{\ln 5}$. Let $g(n):=n \ln n$. Then indeed we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{23}{\ln n}+\frac{24}{\ln 5}+\frac{78}{\sqrt{n} \ln n}-\frac{9}{n \ln n}\right)=\frac{24}{\ln 5} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

e) $f(n):=\log _{2} \sqrt{n^{5}}+\sqrt{\log _{2} n^{5}}$

Solution: By the properties of logarithms,

$$
\log _{2} \sqrt{n^{5}}=\frac{5}{2 \ln 2} \ln n
$$

and

$$
\sqrt{\log _{2} n^{5}}=\sqrt{\frac{5}{\ln 2}} \cdot \sqrt{\ln n}
$$

Let $g(n):=\ln n$. Then indeed we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{5}{2 \ln 2}+\sqrt{\frac{5}{\ln 2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln n}}\right)=\frac{5}{2 \ln 2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

f) $f(n):=2 n^{3}+(\sqrt[4]{n})^{\log _{5} \log _{6} n}+(\sqrt[7]{n})^{\log _{8} \log _{9} n}$

## Solution:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sqrt[7]{n})^{\log _{8} \log _{9} n}}{(\sqrt[4]{n})^{\log _{5} \log _{6} n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{7} \log _{8} \log _{9} n}}{n^{\frac{1}{4} \log _{5} \log _{6} n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{7} \log _{8} \log _{9} n-\frac{1}{4} \log _{5} \log _{6} n}
$$

Notice that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{7} \log _{8} \log _{9} n-\frac{1}{4} \log _{5} \log _{6} n\right)=-\infty
$$

since $\log _{a} x \leq \log _{b} y$ if $x \leq y$ and $a \geq b$. Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sqrt[7]{n})^{\log _{8} \log _{9} n}}{(\sqrt[4]{n})^{\log _{5} \log _{6} n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{7} \log _{8} \log _{9} n-\frac{1}{4} \log _{5} \log _{6} n}=0
$$

Moreover, we also have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 n^{3}}{(\sqrt[4]{n})^{\log _{5} \log _{6} n}}=2 \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{3-\frac{1}{4} \log _{5} \log _{6} n}=0
$$

Let $g(n):=n^{\frac{1}{4} \log _{5} \log _{6} n \text {. Then indeed we have }}$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=1 \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

## Exercise 0.5* Finding the range of your bow.

To celebrate your start at ETH, your parents gifted you a bow and (an infinite number of) arrows. You would like to determine the range of your bow, in other words how far you can shoot arrows with it. For simplicity we assume that all your arrow shots will cover exactly the same distance $r$, and we define $r$ as the range of your bow. You also know that this range is at least $r \geq 1$ (meter).

You have at your disposition a ruler and a wall. You cannot directly measure the distance covered by an arrow shot (because the arrow slides some more distance on the ground after reaching distance $r$ ), so the only way you can get information about the range $r$ is as follows. You can stand at a distance $\ell$ (of your choice) from the wall and shoot an arrow: if the arrow reaches the wall, you know that $\ell \leq r$, and otherwise you deduce that $\ell>r$. By performing such an experiment with various choices of the distance $\ell$, you will be able to determine $r$ with more and more accuracy. Your goal is to do so with as few arrow shots as possible.
a) What is a fast strategy to find an upper bound on the range $r$ ? In other words, how can you find a distance $D \geq 1$ such that $r<D$, using few arrow shots? The required number of shots might depend on the actual range $r$, so we will denote it by $f(r)$. Good solutions should have $f(r) \leq 10 \log _{2} r$ for large values of $r$.

Solution: One possible fast strategy is to first shoot an arrow at distance 2 from the wall, and as long as the arrow reaches the wall, you double your distance to the wall for the next shot. More formally, let $\ell_{i}$ denote your distance to the wall for the $i$-th shot. Then this startegy uses distances given by $\ell_{i}=2^{i}$, and does this until you find a distance $\ell_{t}$ for which your arrow does not reach the wall. $D$ is then given by $D=\ell_{t}=2^{t}$, and the required number of shots is $f(r)=t$, the smallest integer $t$ such that $r<2^{t}$.

This strategy therefore needs $f(r)=\left\lceil\log _{2} r\right\rceil$ shots, and indeed

$$
f(r)=\left\lceil\log _{2} r\right\rceil \leq 1+\log _{2} r \leq 10 \log _{2} r
$$

for all $r \geq 2^{1 / 9}$.
b) You are now interested in determining $r$ up to some additive error. More precisely, you should find an estimate $\tilde{r}$ such that the range is contained in the interval $[\tilde{r}-1, \tilde{r}+1]$, i.e. $\tilde{r}-1 \leq r \leq \tilde{r}+1$. Denoting by $g(r)$ the number of shots required by your strategy, your goal is to find a strategy with $g(r) \leq 10 \log _{2} r$ for all $r$ sufficiently large.

Solution: You start by performing the strategy described in part (a). Note that this allows you to find a distance $D$ such that $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2} D, D\right]$ using $f(r)=\left\lceil\log _{2} r\right\rceil$ shots. You will then iteratively find smaller and smaller intervals $[a, b] \subseteq\left[\frac{1}{2} D, D\right]$ with $r \in[a, b]$, until you get an interval whose length is at most 2 (and then you can take $\tilde{r}$ to be the center of this interval).
You start by shooting an arrow from distance $\left(\frac{1}{2} D+D\right) / 2=\frac{3}{4} D$. If the arrow reaches the wall, then you know that $r \in\left[\frac{3}{4} D, D\right]$, and otherwise you deduce that $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2} D, \frac{3}{4} D\right]$. Note that in both cases, the length of the interval of possible ranges $r$ was divided by 2 . In the next step, if you know that $r \in\left[\frac{3}{4} D, D\right]$ then you shoot an arrow from distance $\left(\frac{3}{4} D+D\right) / 2$, and if you know that $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2} D, \frac{3}{4} D\right]$ then you shoot an arrow from distance $\left(\frac{1}{2} D+\frac{3}{4} D\right) / 2$, which allows you to again divide the length of the interval of possible ranges by 2 . You carry on this procedure until you find an interval $[a, b]$ of length $b-a \leq 2$ satisfying $r \in[a, b]$, and you define $\tilde{r}=(a+b) / 2$.

By construction, this strategy finds an estimate $\tilde{r}$ such that $\tilde{r}-1 \leq r \leq \tilde{r}+1$. Let's compute the number of required shots $g(r)$. You start with $f(r)=\left\lceil\log _{2} r\right\rceil$ shots in order to perform the strategy described in (a), and then you need $t^{\prime}$ additional shots to find the interval $[a, b]$. Note that you start with the interval of possible ranges $\left[\frac{1}{2} D, D\right]$ which has length $D / 2$, and with each additional shot you divide this length by 2 , until you reach a length smaller than 2 . Therefore, $t^{\prime}$ is the smallest integer such that $D / 2^{t^{\prime}+1} \leq 2$, i.e. $D \leq 2^{t^{\prime}+2}$. This means that $t^{\prime}=\max \left\{\left\lceil\log _{2} D\right\rceil-2,0\right\}$ (the maximum with 0 is taken because you cannot have a negative number of shots). This is at most $\left\lceil\log _{2} 2 r\right\rceil=1+\left\lceil\log _{2} r\right\rceil$ because $D \leq 2 r$, so the total number of required shots is

$$
g(r)=f(r)+t^{\prime} \leq f(r)+\left\lceil\log _{2} r\right\rceil+1=2\left\lceil\log _{2} r\right\rceil+1 \leq 2 \log _{2} r+3,
$$

which is smaller than $10 \log _{2} r$ for all $r \geq 2^{3 / 8}$.
c) Coming back to part (a), is it possible to have a significantly faster strategy (for example with $f(r) \leq$ $10 \log _{2} \log _{2} r$ for large values of $\left.r\right)$ ?

Solution: Let $h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be any strictly increasing function with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} h(r)=\infty$. We will show that there exists a strategy that finds some $D>r$ using $f(r):=\lceil h(r)\rceil$ shots.

Since $h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is strictly increasing, it is bijective and therefore has an inverse $h^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ which is also strictly increasing. Moreover, we have $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} h^{-1}(r)=\infty$ because $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} h(r)=\infty$. The strategy is then to shoot the arrow at the $i$-th step with a distance of $h^{-1}(i)$ from the wall, until we get to a step $t^{\prime \prime}$ where the arrow doesn't reach the wall (i.e. $h^{-1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)>r$ ). The number of required shots is then $t^{\prime \prime}$, which is the smallest integer satisfying $h^{-1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)>r$, or equivalently $t^{\prime \prime}>h(r)$. Therefore, $t^{\prime \prime}=\lceil h(r)\rceil$ as claimed.

For the particular example of $f(r) \leq 10 \log _{2} \log _{2} r$, take the function $h(r)=\log _{2} \log _{2} r$. This corresponds to shooting an arrow from distance $h^{-1}(i)=2^{2^{i}}$ in the $i$-th step. Then the number of required shots is

$$
f(r)=\left\lceil\log _{2} \log _{2} r\right\rceil \leq 1+\log _{2} \log _{2} r
$$

which is smaller than $10 \log _{2} \log _{2} r$ for all $r \geq 2^{2^{1 / 9}}$.

